International Journal of Business Innovation

Customer Sustainable Behavior Prediction

A Literature Review

Haitam Elkhassal [1,*] and Lebzar Bouchra [2]

[1] L-QUALIMAT-GRTE, Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco; haitam.elkhassal@gmail.com
[2] L-QUALIMAT-GRTE, Cadi Ayyad University, Morocco; bo.lebzar@gmail.com
* Corresponding Author

Received: March 15, 2024; **Accepted**: March 25, 2024; **Published**: March 31, 2024.

Citation: Elkhassal, H., & B. LEBZAR. (2024). Customer Sustainable Behavior Prediction: A Literature Review. International Journal of Business Innovation. 3(1). e36451. https://doi.org/10.34624/ijbi.v3i1.36451

Abstract: Data is now considered the new gold and represents one of the key factors for the success of organizations in a competitive market. Thanks to technological advancements, these data are extensively exploited by companies with the aim of improving their performance. On the other hand, the concept of sustainable consumption is increasingly becoming a criterion for consumer selection. Consumers' interest in responsible purchasing has experienced significant growth, especially in the last decade. Our research project aims to study the contribution of these future predictions to sustainable behavior and consumer purchasing decisions. Our objective is to identify the key factors that influence consumer sustainable behavior, determine the impact of each factor on sustainability decisions, and then suggest a predictive model that encompasses the influence of all identified factors, delivering relevant and actionable insights.

Keywords: Sustainable Behavior; Future Predictions; Literature Review; Behavior Prediction.

1 Introduction

Sustainable behavior is becoming an obligation under the light of the current global situation. We are consuming on a yearly basis more resources than what our planet can regenerate, professionals and academics are now called upon to collaborate in order to encourage consumers to make increasingly responsible decisions.

Our paper is a literature review of Customer Sustainable Behavior Prediction, It delves deep into understanding how consumers make sustainable choices in order to encourage it for future decisions. We begin by methodically examining existing literature, using a systematic approach to unravel the complex tapestry of theories and practices in predicting customer behavior with a sustainability focus.

At its heart, our paper explores foundational theories like the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Theory of Reasoned Action, dissecting their historical evolution and application in the realm of customer behavior. These theories are crucial for understanding why and how consumers make decisions that affect the environment and society.

A significant portion of our paper is dedicated to unraveling the factors that influence sustainable consumer behavior. We explore the innovative SHIFT framework, which stands for Social influence, Habit formation, Individual self, Feelings and cognition, and Tangibility. This framework paints a holistic picture of the various psychological and environmental elements that nudge consumers towards more sustainable choices.

Moreover, our paper dives into the technical aspects of predicting sustainable behavior. It assesses a range of models and techniques, such as cohort analysis, regression models, survival analysis, segmentation models, and Artificial Neural Networks. These methods provide us with a lens to view long-term trends, identify key influencing factors, and appreciate the individual differences in sustainable consumer behavior.

2 Context

In recent years, the concept of sustainable behavior has emerged as a critical area of investigation within the environmental and social sciences. This surge in interest is driven by the increasing recognition of the environmental challenges posed by anthropogenic activities, including resource depletion, pollution, and climate change. Sustainable behavior, characterized by actions that minimize negative environmental impacts and promote ecological balance, has been identified as a crucial component in addressing these challenges. As consumers play a pivotal role in shaping demand and consumption patterns, understanding and predicting their behavior towards sustainability is essential for developing effective strategies to foster environmentally responsible practices.

This literature review provides a comprehensive examination of the current state of research on customer sustainable behavior prediction. It systematically explores the theoretical underpinnings, key influencing factors, and methodological approaches employed in this field. The review highlights the integration of various psychological and social theories, such as the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Theory of Reasoned Action, in understanding the determinants of sustainable consumer behavior. Furthermore, it assesses the applicability and effectiveness of different predictive models, including regression analysis, cohort analysis, and artificial neural networks, in forecasting sustainable behavior.

The primary objective of this review is to identify research gaps and outline directions for future investigations. By synthesizing the existing literature, the review aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding sustainable consumer behavior and provide a foundation for the development of targeted interventions and policies to promote sustainability.

3 Methodology

This literature review employed a systematic review approach to gather relevant literature on sustainable consumer behavior and predictions. The search strategy involved reviewing secondary data from "double-blind" peer-reviewed academic journals, book chapters, commercial reports, and institutional websites. Databases such as Scopus and Journal of Science, were utilized for conducting word searches on topics such as sustainable consumer behavior, sustainable consumer behavior, sustainable consumption, factors, scales, future predictions and theoretical foundations. Recognizing the significant evolution in sustainability studies, our research focuses on the last 10 years to incorporate recent advancements. Meanwhile, the theoretical foundation draws from major studies conducted over the past 50 years. This approach allows us to trace the evolution of consumer behavior comprehensively, linking historical insights with current trends.

A total of 60 works were selected for assessment based on their relevance, recency, impact, and the credentials of the authors. The aim was to gain a comprehensive understanding of the theoretical and methodological foundations of sustainable consumer behavior and to identify emerging key factors and topics related to the behavior predictions.

4 Sustainable Behavior: Concept and Meaning

Sustainable customer behavior refers to the actions and decisions of consumers that aim to minimize negative environmental impacts, promote ethical business practices, and prioritize sustainable products (Minton et al., 2018). It encompasses consumer choices that extend to reducing waste, conserving energy and resources (White et al., 2019), supporting fair trade practices, and engaging in ethical consumption practices (Minton et al., 2018).

The origin of this concept dates back to the 1980s when environmental concerns started being incorporated into public policies. Early authors addressing this topic include environmentalists Donella Meadows and Dennis Meadows, as well as chemist Jorgen Randers, who published the influential report "The Limits to Growth" in 1972. In the 1990s, non-governmental organizations began to focus on the issue and launched campaigns to raise consumer awareness. Since then, the concept of sustainable consumption has evolved and become a significant issue in discussions about sustainable development.

It was also defined by the United Nations in its report "Our Common Future" as "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs" (United Nations, 1987).

Sustainable and responsible behavior can have a significant impact on the daily lives of both the current generation and future generations. Taking the reduction of water and energy consumption as an example, studies have demonstrated that reducing water and energy consumption is a sustainable behavior that can have a substantial environmental impact (Spang, Manzor & Loge, 2020). Behaviors such as reducing shower time and using LED light bulbs can contribute to the reduction of water and energy consumption. In recent years, consumer engagement has become increasingly significant. This trend is further amplified by climate change, the COVID-19 crisis, and geopolitical crises, all of which affect individuals' daily lives and influence their decision-making behaviors.

Studies have shown that consumers are increasingly aware of the importance of sustainability and social responsibility in their consumption choices. According to a survey conducted by Nielsen (2015), 81% of global consumers express concerns about the environment, and 72% express concerns about social issues such as gender equality and poverty.

Moreover, sustainable customer behavior also encompasses the consideration of long-term implications and the well-being of the planet and society in consumer decision-making processes (White et al., 2019). This includes the willingness to pay extra for sustainable offerings (Minton et al., 2018). Additionally, the definition also highlights the influence of cultural standards and social norms on sustainable behaviors, creating a dual faction of sustainable actions that are either normative or culturally driven (Minton et al., 2018).

Furthermore, a study by Cone Communications (2017) revealed that 90% of consumers are willing to boycott a company if they discover irresponsible social or environmental actions by that company.

In more recent studies, such as "The Consumer Sustainability Index 2021" conducted by the sustainability consulting organization GlobeScan, these rates have shown an upward trend. For instance, environmental concern has increased from 81% to 85% among consumers in France and the United Kingdom.

5 Theoretical Grounds of Research on Sustainable Customer Behavior

To understand the theoretical foundations for predicting sustainable behavior, it is essential to consider various influential theories and factors highlighted in the literature. The research indicates that the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) proposed by Ajzen and Fishbein (1980) and the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) (1988) are two prominent theories that underpin much of the research on sustainable consumer behavior (Kostadinova, 2016). These theories center on attitudes and intentions as significant influences on individuals' inclinations to engage in sustainable behavior (Kostadinova, 2016).

Within the theoretical context, factors such as habit formation, social influence, individual self, feelings and cognition, and tangibility have been identified as key

components influencing sustainable consumer behavior (White et al., 2019). Additionally, the literature emphasizes the influence of subjective norms, self-interest, feedback, and the challenge of collective action on sustainable behavior (Minton et al., 2018).

Furthermore, the research reviews and highlights the significance of using social influence, shaping good habits, leveraging the domino effect, deciding whether to appeal to the heart or the brain, and favoring experiences over ownership as actions for companies to consider in encouraging sustainable behavior (White et al., 2019).

5.1 Theory of Reasoned Action

The historical development of TRA reveals an evolution from the original theory of reasoned action to its modification by Ajzen to account for behaviors not under complete individual control, resulting in the theory of planned behavior, which introduced perceived behavioral control as an additional predictor of intentions. This evolution demonstrates how the theory has been modified to address limitations and has been widely applied across multiple behaviors, contexts, and populations (Hagger, 2019).

The Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), developed by Martin Fishbein and Icek Ajzen, has its origins in the late 1970s, and the theories of reasoned action and planned behavior, along with its recent form, the reasoned action approach, have become influential approaches to predicting and understanding intentional behavior. The TRA focuses on individuals' beliefs regarding future behavior, where intention is considered the most proximal determinant of behavior, reflecting the extent to which an individual plans to engage in a particular behavior and invest effort in pursuing it. This intention is a function of two belief-based constructs: attitudes and subjective norms. Attitudes are positive or negative evaluations of future behavior, and subjective norms reflect an individual's beliefs about what important others think should be done and the motivation to comply with those important others (Hagger, 2019).

The conceptualization of beliefs in reasoned action theory involves the differentiation of attitudes from their antecedents and consequences, shaping an individual's behavioral intentions based on beliefs and evaluations of outcomes. This expectancy-value attitude model has been widely used in media effects scholarship and has been integrated in domains such as persuasion, consumer behavior, health communication, innovation adoption, and environmental behavior. Changing beliefs is considered an effective way to induce behavior change and is a key aspect of TRA research (Yzer.M 2017).

5.2 Theory of Planned Behavior

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is a widely recognized theoretical framework developed by Icek Ajzen as an extension of the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Dung, 2020). This influential theory offers a comprehensive model for studying human social behavior in various fields. Initially developed in 1991 as an extension of TRA, TPB has undergone refinement and testing to address unresolved issues and limitations (Ajzen, 2019). The reasoning behind this modification is that not all actions are under one's

volitional control, and intention depends on the strength of the belief in our ability to carry out the behavior (Jackson, 2005). The theory has been widely embraced by researchers due to its ability to predict and understand human behaviors in specific circumstances (Ajzen, 2019).

The TPB builds on psychological principles relating to attitudes, beliefs, and social influences to predict and explain human behavior across various contexts (Armitage & Conner, 2001). It has been applied and tested in numerous empirical studies, demonstrating its effectiveness in a wide range of fields including healthcare, finance, marketing, transportation, and moral behavior (Dung, 2020).

TPB posits that behavioral intentions and perceptions of behavioral control predict actual behavior (Ajzen, 2019). The theory identifies three key determinants: attitude toward the behavior, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control, which collectively shape behavioral intentions (Ajzen, 2019). Attitude toward the behavior reflects the individual's evaluation of the behavior, subjective norms indicate perceived social pressure, and perceived behavioral control gauges the ease or difficulty of carrying out the behavior (Dung, 2020). Consequently, stronger intentions and higher perceived behavioral control are associated with a greater likelihood of behavioral performance (Dung, 2020).

5.3 Comparative Analysis of Theories in Predicting Sustainable Behavior

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) and the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) share similarities as well as differences in predicting behavior. The TPB is an extension of the TRA and proposes that, in addition to attitude and subjective norms, perceived behavioral control can predict intention, which, coupled with perceived behavioral control, can predict behavior (Ajzen, 2019). One key difference is that TPB's perceived behavioral control reflects the ease or difficulty with which behavior can take place (Ajzen, 2019). TRA, on the other hand, does not include perceived behavioral control and focuses on attitude toward the behavior and subjective norms to predict intention, which in turn predicts behavior (Armitage & Conner, 2001).

In terms of sustainable behavior, the TPB has been used to predict various behaviors such as exercise, health-related behaviors, and environmental behaviors (Forward, 2009). The TRA has also been applied to predict behaviors related to sustainability, but the TPB's inclusion of perceived behavioral control in predicting intentions and behavior suggests that it may offer a more comprehensive approach to predicting sustainable behaviors (Armitage & Conner, 2001).

Both theories have been influential and widely applied in research, with the TPB showing greater predictive power for certain behaviors, but evidence suggests that the TPB may be more useful in predicting a wide range of behaviors and behavioral intentions compared to the TRA (Ajzen, 2019).

6 Factors Influencing Customer Sustainable Behavior

6.1 SHIFT Framework

The concept of SHIFT, which influences sustainable customer behavior, is articulated in the literature review article "How to SHIFT Consumer Behaviors to be More Sustainable: A Literature Review and Guiding Framework" authored by Katherine White, Rishad Habib, and David J. Hardisty (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019). The SHIFT framework reflects the importance of considering Social influence, Habit formation, Individual self, Feelings and cognition, and Tangibility to encourage more sustainable consumer behaviors. The acronym SHIFT provides a comprehensive framework for conceptualizing and promoting sustainable consumer behavior change by acknowledging these psychological factors. The SHIFT framework aims to address the "attitude-behavior gap" commonly observed in sustainability contexts and suggests ways to effectively influence consumers' actions towards sustainability.

This framework recognizes the significance of social influence, habit formation, individual self, and feelings and cognition in shaping sustainable consumer behaviors. It emphasizes understanding and leveraging these specific psychological factors to foster sustainable choices. Furthermore, the literature review discusses the potential of using the SHIFT framework to guide practitioners in promoting sustainable consumer behavior, thereby offering valuable insights for businesses and policymakers aiming to encourage sustainability. The concepts identified—Social influence, Habit formation, Individual self, Feelings and cognition, and Tangibility—play significant roles in influencing sustainable consumer behavior and decision-making processes.

Social influence refers to the impact of external factors such as peers, social group norms, and societal expectations on an individual's behavior. It can have a substantial impact on sustainable decision-making as people tend to adapt their behavior to align with social norms. For instance, leveraging social norms related to sustainable behavior through interventions and messaging can positively influence individuals to make more sustainable choices by aligning their behavior with what is socially expected (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

Habit formation refers to the process of establishing automatic behaviors through repetition and reinforcement. Sustainable habits can have a profound impact on decision-making by promoting consistent and environmentally friendly choices, thereby contributing to sustainable behavior (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

Individual self encompasses an individual's self-concept, values, and beliefs, which can affect their decision-making and behavior. Positive self-concept and self-affirmation can influence sustainable behaviors, and incorporating self-enhancement and self-consistency values may positively impact sustainable decision-making (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

Feelings and cognition represent the emotional and rational aspects of decision-making. Emotions and cognitions influence sustainable behavior by shaping consumer attitudes, perceptions, and responses to sustainability appeals, products, and messaging (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

Tangibility refers to the concreteness and experiential nature of a stimulus or outcome. Tangible representations of sustainable actions and their outcomes can influence decision-making by making environmental impacts more salient, increasing the effectiveness of sustainability interventions, and promoting experiential processing, thereby impacting sustainable behavior (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

It is important to note that these concepts have complex and interconnected influences on sustainable decision-making, and their impact may vary based on individual and contextual factors (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

6.2 Individual-related Vs Contextual factors

6.2.1 Individual Factors

Environmental concern is an extensively studied factor that logically influences sustainable consumer behavior. It refers to an individual's evaluation or attitude towards the impact of facts, their own behavior, or the behavior of others on the environment (Ajzen, 1989). Several studies indicate that the level of concern can be a significant predictor of engaging in environmentally conscious behaviors, such as recycling (Simmons & Widman, 1990) and purchasing green products (Chan, 1996; Ottman, 1993).

Knowledge is also recognized as a crucial predictor of green consumer behavior, as it is believed that individuals who possess greater awareness and understanding of environmental issues are more likely to be motivated to engage in environmentally friendly consumer practices (Peattie, 2010).

Perceived consumer effectiveness is another extensively studied variable that is believed to influence consumer attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control, ultimately shaping consumer purchase intentions (Kang et al., 2013). It refers to the extent to which a respondent believes that individual consumers can effectively contribute to pollution abatement (Kinnear, Taylor, & Ahmed, 1974).

The impact of values on environmentally friendly actions has been assessed by considerable research. Thogerson and Olander (2002) found that sustainable consumption habits are shaped by personal value preferences, while Stern et al. (1995) revealed that individuals who partake in pro-environmental behavior are inclined towards altruistic values and tend to have higher scores on Schwartz's pro-social value dimension.

6.2.2 Contextual Factors

Extensive research has been dedicated to examining how consumer values and attitudes can predict sustainable consumer behavior. However, there is a tendency to

overemphasize the impact of individual factors, such as attitudes and beliefs, while overlooking situational factors such as the availability of environmentally friendly products and financial constraints. This phenomenon, known as the "fundamental attribution error" (Ross, 1977, as cited by Jackson, 2005), skews the evaluation of consumer behavior.

It is important to note that individual values are not fixed and can change over time, varying across different contexts and situations (Biel, 2004). Situational factors play a crucial role in sustainable consumer behavior. Factors like the accessibility of recycling facilities, the quality of public transportation, and the availability of energy-efficient technologies can either encourage or discourage such behavior.

While some studies, like Miller (1990), have found that consumers are willing to pay a price premium of up to 5% for green products, several others have demonstrated that a higher price can overshadow ethical considerations (e.g., Vermeir and Verbeke (2006)), and the likelihood of purchasing green products decreases as the price premium increases (D'Souza, 2006).

7 Measuring Sustainable Consumer Behavior

7.1 Measuring SHIFT Framework Factors

To measure the impact of each factor on sustainable behavior as described in the SHIFT framework (K. White, D.J. Hardisty, R. Habib, 2019), a comprehensive approach would involve utilizing existing research methodologies, behavioral assessments, and empirical studies. Each factor has unique psychological processes that contribute to sustainable behavior change. Here are some general methodologies that can be employed to measure the impact of each factor:

1. Social Influence: Utilize surveys, observational studies, and social network analysis to assess the influence of social groups, peers, and societal norms on sustainable behavior. Quantitative measures such as social influence scales and network analysis can provide insights into the impact of social factors (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).
2. Habit Formation: Conduct longitudinal studies, behavioral tracking, and self-report assessments to measure the formation and impact of sustainable habits over time. Qualitative interviews and diaries can capture insights into habit formation processes (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).
3. Individual Self: Employ psychological scales, self-assessment tools, and experimental designs to measure the impact of individual self-concept, values, and beliefs on sustainable behavior. Surveys, psychometric assessments, and experimental manipulations can provide insights into the influence of self-identity on behavior (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).
4. Feelings and Cognition: Use psychological instruments, cognitive appraisal methods, and experimental paradigms to assess the impact of emotions,

attitudes, and cognitive processes on sustainable decision-making. Psychometric scales, behavioral experiments, and neuroscientific approaches can measure emotional and cognitive determinants of behavior (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).

5. Tangibility: Implement ecological footprint assessments, behavioral tracking tools, and environmental impact evaluations to measure the tangible outcomes and perceived environmental impacts of sustainable behaviors. Ecological assessments, life cycle analysis, and experiential surveys can capture the tangible aspects of sustainability (White, Hardisty, & Habib, 2019).
6. Sustainable Behavior Scales.

To effectively measure the impact of each factor, it is essential to leverage a combination of quantitative and qualitative research methodologies, including surveys, experimental designs, behavioral tracking, psychometric assessments, and environmental impact analyses. These approaches can provide a comprehensive understanding of the influence of each factor on sustainable behavior. If you have specific research or behavioral change programs in mind, providing additional context or keywords would enable a more targeted and detailed response.

7.2 The General Ecological Behavior Scale

The General Ecological Behavior Scale, developed by Kaiser and further adapted alongside Biel, was designed to measure general environmental behavior. The original scale evaluates environmental performance considering the behavioral difficulties related to 30 different types of ecological behavior, acknowledging the situational constraints affecting behavior (Kaiser, Wolfing, & Fuhrer, 1999). The scale focuses on assessing a wide range of ecological behaviors to gauge individuals' general environmental engagement, extending beyond specific actions to provide a more comprehensive understanding of overall ecological behavior.

The General Ecological Behavior Scale (GEB) comprises 38 items that assess different types of ecological behaviors, along with some non-environmental, prosocial behaviors. Respondents use a Yes/No response format for these items, and negatively formulated items are reversed in coding (Kaiser, Wolfing, & Fuhrer, 1999). The GEB scale has been calibrated as an unidimensional Rasch scale based on item response theory, and attempts to validate the GEB measure with criterion-related self-reported data and observed behavioral data were promising (Kaiser, Wolfing, & Fuhrer, 1999).

7.3 Environmental Concern Scale

The Environmental Concern Scale, developed by Weigel and Weigel (1978), assesses respondents' levels of concern about environmental pollution. It aims to measure deeply held attitudes and emotions related to environmental issues (Kostadinova, 2016). This scale is effective in gauging individuals' emotional and cognitive responses to environmental challenges, providing insights into the extent of concern individuals harbor for

environmental well-being, thereby offering an understanding of their emotional and cognitive connection to environmental issues.

The Environmental Concern Scale is represented by three scales: "Environmental Knowledge" (EK), "Environmental Values" (EV), and "Ecological Behavior Intention" (EBI). Each scale uses a 5-point Likert response format ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). It's noteworthy that only three out of 28 items are negatively turned, which puts these scales at a certain risk of acquiescence response set (Kaiser, Wolfing, & Fuhrer, 1999).

7.4 Milfont and Duckit's Environmental Attitudes Inventory List

Milfont and Duckit's Environmental Attitudes Inventory list endeavors to organize measures utilized in previous research studies and evaluate environmental attitudes within a cross-cultural context (Kostadinova, 2016). This inventory captures a wide range of perceptions and beliefs concerning the natural environment, encompassing factors that influence its quality. By covering a diverse array of environmental perceptions and beliefs, it enables the assessment of attitudes across different cultural contexts, facilitating a nuanced understanding of cross-cultural variations in environmental attitudes.

These scales collectively provide a multi-faceted approach to measuring sustainable behavior by addressing environmental attitudes, concerns, and behaviors within a cross-cultural context. The General Ecological Behavior Scale evaluates individuals' general environmental performance, the Environmental Concern Scale delves into emotional and cognitive responses to environmental issues, and Milfont and Duckit's Environmental Attitudes Inventory list captures a wide range of perceptions and beliefs across different cultural contexts, thus offering a comprehensive understanding of environmental attitudes and behaviors (Kostadinova, 2016).

8 Predicting Sustainable Behavior

8.1 Cohort Analysis

Cohort analysis is a method increasingly utilized in marketing, which groups individuals (cohorts) based on shared characteristics or experiences within a specific time frame. This technique is particularly effective in marketing, where cohorts often consist of customers who initiated their first purchase during the same period. Cohort analysis monitors these groups over time, enabling an understanding of key metrics such as retention, lifetime value, and other behavioral patterns. The approach is advantageous as it aids in comprehending the long-term value of customers and identifying trends and patterns unique to specific customer segments. However, it has limitations, including a potential failure to account for individual variability and susceptibility to external factors unrelated to the cohort characteristics.

8.2 Regression Models

In the realm of statistical analysis, regression models stand out as a fundamental method employed to elucidate the relationships between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. These models are particularly adept at dissecting complex interactions in various fields, including consumer behavior prediction. In this domain, regression models are instrumental in pinpointing factors that drive sustainable behaviors, allowing for a quantitative assessment of the strength and nature of these influences. However, the application of regression models is not without its limitations. A primary constraint is the inherent assumption of a linear relationship between the variables in question. This assumption can lead to inaccuracies when the actual relationship is non-linear. Furthermore, regression models are susceptible to overfitting, particularly when dealing with a large number of predictors or complex models. Overfitting results in models that perform well on training data but poorly on unseen data, reducing their predictive power. Additionally, these models are sensitive to outliers, which can significantly skew results and lead to erroneous conclusions if not appropriately addressed. Therefore, while regression models are a powerful tool in statistical analysis and prediction, their use necessitates careful consideration of their assumptions and limitations to ensure valid and reliable outcomes.

8.3 Survival Analysis

Survival analysis encompasses a collection of statistical methodologies designed to predict the time frame until the occurrence of one or more specific events, such as churn or repeat purchases. This analytical approach finds significant application in the study of customer behavior, where it is utilized to forecast the duration for which a customer will continue to engage with a service or product. One of the notable strengths of survival analysis is its adeptness in handling censored data, a common scenario in customer behavior studies where certain customers have not yet reached the event of interest (e.g., churn). This capability makes it particularly effective for predicting time-to-event outcomes, offering valuable insights into customer retention and lifecycle.

However, implementing and interpreting survival analysis can be a complex task. The intricacies involved in these processes often demand a high level of statistical expertise and understanding. Additionally, survival analysis is based on certain assumptions about hazard functions, which describe the event rate at a given time interval. These assumptions can sometimes be restrictive and may not always align perfectly with the real-world data, potentially limiting the model's applicability and accuracy. Thus, while survival analysis provides powerful tools for time-to-event analysis in customer behavior studies, its complexity and the assumptions underlying its models necessitate careful application and interpretation to ensure the reliability and relevance of its findings in practical scenarios.

8.4 Segmentation Models

Segmentation models are a pivotal tool in marketing analytics, serving to categorize a customer base into discrete groups. These groups are formed based on shared traits such as demographics, psychographics, or behavioral patterns. The primary application of these models is in the customization of marketing strategies. By recognizing that each segment may respond uniquely to various marketing tactics, segmentation models enable marketers to tailor their approaches more precisely to the needs and preferences of different customer groups. This targeted marketing approach fosters numerous advantages. It not only enhances the efficiency of marketing efforts but also significantly boosts customer satisfaction and retention. These benefits stem from the model's ability to identify and cater to the specific needs and preferences of each segment, thereby creating a more personalized customer experience.

Despite these advantages, segmentation models are not without their limitations. One key challenge is the stability of the segments over time. Customer preferences and behaviors can evolve, leading to changes in the composition and characteristics of the segments. This fluidity necessitates continuous monitoring and adaptation of the segmentation strategy.

Another potential drawback is the risk of over-segmentation. While dividing a customer base into highly specific segments can seem advantageous, it may also result in inefficiencies. Over-segmentation can lead to overly complex marketing strategies that are difficult to manage and may dilute the overall effectiveness of marketing efforts. Thus, while segmentation models offer valuable insights for targeted marketing, their successful application requires careful balance and ongoing refinement to account for changing customer dynamics and avoid the pitfalls of over-segmentation.

8.5 Artificial Neural Networks

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are advanced computing systems, modeled after the intricate neural networks found in animal brains. These systems are designed to learn and adapt by processing a multitude of examples, mirroring the learning process of a biological brain. ANNs have found extensive applications in various fields, particularly in predicting customer behavior. Their strength lies in the ability to identify complex, non-linear patterns and relationships within data, a feat that often eludes traditional statistical methods. This capability makes them especially valuable in environments where the data relationships are not straightforward or are highly intricate.

One of the primary advantages of ANNs is their remarkable flexibility and capacity for modeling highly complex relationships, making them well-suited for analysis of large datasets. They can adapt to a wide range of data types and structures, providing nuanced insights that can significantly enhance predictive accuracy. However, the deployment of ANNs comes with certain limitations. Firstly, they require substantial volumes of data to train effectively. Without enough data, their ability to learn and make accurate predictions

can be severely hampered. Additionally, ANNs are computationally intensive, necessitating significant processing power and resources, which can be a constraint in certain applications.

Another notable challenge is their "black box" nature. While ANNs can produce highly accurate predictions, the internal workings and decision processes of these networks are often opaque, making it difficult to interpret how they arrive at a specific conclusion. This lack of transparency can be a significant drawback, particularly in scenarios where understanding the rationale behind predictions is as important as the predictions themselves. Therefore, while ANNs offer powerful tools for predictive analysis in customer behavior, their effective use requires careful consideration of their resource demands and the interpretability of their outputs.

Table 1. Comparative Analysis for Predicting Customer Sustainable Behavior

Prediction model	Usage	Suitability for Sustainable Behavior Prediction
Cohort Analysis	Less common in general marketing but valuable in specific contexts like subscription-based service\s.	Moderate. Useful for observing trends in sustainable behavior over time but less effective for individual predictions.
Regression Models	Widely used due to their simplicity and interpretability.	High. They can effectively identify and quantify factors influencing sustainable behavior.
Survival Analysis	Less common in general marketing but valuable in specific contexts like subscription-based services.	Moderate to High. Particularly useful in predicting the duration of a customer's engagement with sustainable practices or products.
Segmentation Models	Very common in marketing for tailoring strategies to different customer groups.	Moderate. Useful for identifying segments more likely to engage in sustainable behavior but less predictive on an individual level.
Artificial Neural Networks	Increasingly popular due to advancements in computational power and data availability.	High. ANNs are excellent at detecting complex patterns in large datasets, making them powerful for predicting individual customer behavior, including sustainable practices.

In the landscape of predictive analytics for customer sustainable behavior, traditional models like regression and segmentation have been widely used due to their simplicity and ease of implementation. These models offer foundational approaches to behavioral prediction, benefiting from their straightforwardness. However, when it comes to predicting sustainable behavior in customers, Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) emerge as potentially the most effective tool due to their ability to model complex, non-linear relationships within large datasets. ANNs offer superior complexity-handling capabilities compared to traditional models, making them particularly suitable for capturing the intricate nature of sustainable behavior patterns.

While traditional models like regression and segmentation retain their utility for their ease of use, ANNs stand out in terms of effectiveness for predicting complex sustainable behaviors. Nonetheless, the choice of the most appropriate model depends on the specific context and intricacies of the behavior being predicted. Survival analysis and cohort analysis also offer specialized advantages in their respective domains. This diversity in modeling approaches underscores the necessity of a tailored approach in predictive analytics, especially in the nuanced field of customer sustainable behavior.

Comparing different prediction approaches, studies on customer churn prediction often utilize various modeling techniques such as logistic regression, decision trees, neural networks, support vector machines, and random forests. These approaches aim to detect customers with a high propensity to attrite and can be valuable for predicting sustainable behavior based on similar principles.

On the other hand, the SHIFT framework emphasizes considering social influence, habit formation, individual self, feelings and cognition, and tangibility to encourage more sustainable consumer behaviors. This holistic approach integrates various psychological and behavioral factors relevant to sustainable behavior prediction. Individual-related factors such as environmental concern, knowledge, and perceived consumer effectiveness are highlighted as important predictors of pro-environmental consumer behavior. These factors can be incorporated into modeling approaches to understand and predict sustainable behavior.

Considering insights from different research, a comprehensive approach that integrates both individual-related factors and psychological frameworks might be beneficial for predicting customer sustainable behavior. This could involve leveraging regression models to understand the influence of specific predictors, segmentation models to identify distinct customer groups based on sustainable behavior characteristics, and potentially incorporating ANNs for capturing complex relationships within the data. Each modeling approach offers unique benefits, and their combination could provide a more comprehensive understanding of customer sustainable behavior prediction.

It is important to note that each modeling approach has its strengths and weaknesses, and the choice should be based on the specific characteristics of the dataset and

the research objectives. A thoughtful consideration of these factors is essential for developing robust predictive analytics models for customer sustainable behavior.

9 Conclusions

In conclusion, this literature review delves into the prediction of customer sustainable behavior, highlighting the importance of integrating psychological theories with advanced predictive models. The synthesis of individual, social, and technological factors is crucial for accurately forecasting sustainable consumer practices. Models like the SHIFT framework and methods such as Artificial Neural Networks are foundational in enhancing our understanding of these complex behaviors.

As we move forward, it is essential for researchers and practitioners to connect theoretical predictions with practical applications, refining models to better reflect the dynamics of consumer decisions. This effort will not only advance sustainability goals but also empower consumers to make responsible choices, contributing to a sustainable future for all.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *50*(2), 179–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Armitage, C. J., & Conner, M. (2001). Efficacy of the Theory of Planned Behaviour: A meta-analytic review. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *40*.

Bagheri1, S. M., & Afsharinezhad2, M. (2019). Factors affecting consumers' impulse buying behavior. *UCT Journal of Management and Accounting Studies*, *7*(1).

Baumeister, R. F., Sparks, E. A., Stillman, T. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2008). Free will in consumer behavior: Self-control, ego depletion, and choice. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *18*(1), 4–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2007.10.002

Bhatia, S. S., Ghose, S., & Sharma, R. R. (2019). Predicting customer behavior in social commerce using machine learning algorithms. *Journal of Business Research*, *99*, 365–380.

Busalim, A., Fox, G., & Lynn, T. (2022). Consumer behavior in sustainable fashion: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *46*(5), 1804–1828. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12794

Connelly, B. L., Ketchen, D. J., & Slater, S. F. (2011). Toward a "theoretical toolbox" for sustainability research in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *39*(1), 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-010-0199-0

Cordano, M., & Frieze, I. H. (2000). Pollution Reduction Preferences of U.S. Environmental Managers: Applying Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, *43*(4), 627–641. https://doi.org/10.5465/1556358

Correia, E., Sousa, S., Viseu, C., & Larguinho, M. (2023). Analysing the Influence of Green Marketing Communication in Consumers' Green Purchase Behaviour. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20021356

Das, S. R., Sahoo, S., & Sahoo, S. (2018). Customer purchase behavior prediction using machine learning algorithms. *Procedia Computer Science*, *132*, 1579–1588.

Di Crosta, A., Ceccato, I., Marchetti, D., la Malva, P., Maiella, R., Cannito, L., Cipi, M., Mammarella, N., Palumbo, R., Verrocchio, M. C., Palumbo, R., & Domenico, A. di. (2021). Psychological factors and consumer behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE*, *16*(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256095

Dunlap, R. E., & van Liere, K. D. (1978). The "new environmental paradigm." *Journal of Environmental Education*, *9*(4), 10–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1978.10801875

Dutta, B., & Hwang, H. G. (2021). Consumers purchase intentions of green electric vehicles: The influence of consumers technological and environmental considerations. *Sustainability*, *13*(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112025

D'Souza, C., Taghian, M., Lamb, P., & Peretiatkos, R. (2006). Green products and corporate strategy: an empirical investigation. *Society and business review*, *1*(2), 144-157.

Mónus, F. (2021). Environmental perceptions and pro-environmental behavior–comparing different measuring approaches. *Environmental Education Research*, *27*(1), 132-156.

Forward, S. E. (2009). The theory of planned behaviour: The role of descriptive norms and past behaviour in the prediction of drivers' intentions to violate. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, *12*(3), 198–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2008.12.002

Geiger, S. M., Fischer, D., & Schrader, U. (2018). Measuring what matters in sustainable consumption: An integrative framework for the selection of relevant behaviors. *Sustainable development*, *26*(1), 18-33.

Guo, G., & Jiang, Y. (2020). Customer lifetime value prediction using deep learning. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *147*, 113220.

Hameed, I., Waris, I., & Amin ul Haq, M. (2019). Predicting eco-conscious consumer behavior using theory of planned behavior in Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *26*, 15535-15547.

Hines, J. M., Hungerford, H. R., & Tomera, A. N. (1987). Analysis and synthesis of research on responsible environmental behavior: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Education*, *18*(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1987.9943482

Hofenk, D., van Birgelen, M. J. H., Bloemer, J. M. M., & Semeijn, J. (2010). Integrating the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Norm-Activation Theory to Explain Pro-environmental Buying Behavior.

Jánská, M., Kollar, P., & Celer, Č. (2020). Factors Influencing Purchases of Organic Food. *Zagreb International Review of Economics and Business*, *23*(1), 81–94. https://doi.org/10.2478/zireb-2020-0006

Kaiser, F. G., & Shimoda, T. A. (1999). Responsibility as a predictor of ecological behaviour. *Journal of environmental psychology*, *19*(3), 243-253.

Kaiser, F. G., & Wilson, M. (2000). Assessing People's General Ecological Behavior: A Cross-Cultural Measure 1. *Journal of applied social psychology*, *30*(5), 952-978.

Kaiser, F. G., Wölfing, S., & Fuhrer, U. (1999). Environmental attitude and ecological behaviour. *Journal of environmental psychology*, *19*(1), 1-19.

Kaiser, F. G. (1998). A general measure of ecological behavior 1. *Journal of applied social psychology*, *28*(5), 395-422.

Kostadinova, E. (2016). Sustainable consumer behavior: Literature overview. *Economic Alternatives*, *2*, 224-234.

Karimi, S., Liobikienė, G., & Alitavakoli, F. (2022). The Effect of Religiosity on Pro-environmental Behavior Based on the Theory of Planned Behavior: A Cross-Sectional Study Among Iranian Rural Female Facilitators. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.745019

Kollmuss, A., & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the gap: Why do people act environmentally and what are the barriers to pro-environmental behavior?. *Environmental Education Research*, *8*(3), 239–260. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620220145401

Kumar, B. (2012). *Theory of planned behaviour approach to understand the purchasing behaviour for environmentally sustainable products* (No. WP2012-12-08). Indian Institute of Management Ahmedabad, Research and Publication Department.

Lee, S. H., Lee, H., & Kim, H. J. (2020). Factors influencing sustainable consumption behavior: A systematic review. *Sustainability*, *12*(3), 1244.

Liobikiene, G., Mandravickaite, J., & Bernatoniene, J. (2016). Theory of planned behavior approach to understand the green purchasing behavior in the EU: A cross-cultural study. *Ecological Economics*, *125*, 38–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.02.008

Little, J. D. C. (1970). Models and managers: The concept of a decision calculus. *Management Science*, *16*(8), B466–B485.

Mahajan, R. P., & Sharma, M. (2018). A comparative study of machine learning algorithms for customer churn prediction. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, *7*(4.9), 50–53.

Mahesh, B. (2020). Machine learning algorithms-a review. *International Journal of Science and Research*, *9*(1), 381-386.

Minton, E. A., Kahle, L. R., & Kim, C. H. (2015). Religion and motives for sustainable behaviors: A cross-cultural comparison and contrast. *Journal of Business Research*, *68*(9), 1937–1944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.01.003

Minton, E. A., Spielmann, N., Kahle, L. R., & Kim, C. H. (2018). The subjective norms of sustainable consumption: A cross-cultural exploration. *Journal of Business Research*, *82*, 400–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.12.031

Mwangi, E. O., Oyuke, R. O., & Shitanda, D. (2019). A systematic literature review of sustainable consumption and production research: Current trends and emerging themes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *234*, 1322–1339.

Nair, N. V., Mani, V., & Santhosh Kumar, S. (2018). Sustainable consumption behavior in fashion retailing: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, *46*(6), 580–595.

Ogiemwonyi, O., & Jan, M. T. (2023). The correlative influence of consumer ethical beliefs, environmental ethics, and moral obligation on green consumption behavior. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling Advances*, *19*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcradv.2023.200171

Pathak, S. R., Kant, R., & Kumar, A. (2017). Exploring sustainable consumption behavior: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *41*(6), 682–692.

Prapavessis, H., Gaston, A., & DeJesus, S. (2015). The Theory of Planned Behavior as a model for understanding sedentary behavior. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *19*, 23–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2015.02.001

Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Williams, M. D. (2018). Predicting customer churn in the banking industry using machine learning techniques. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *114*, 464–471.

Ranasinghe, S. S., Kodagoda, N., & Silva, N. (2020). Predicting customer purchase behavior using deep learning algorithms. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *54*, 102020.

Rita, P., & Ramos, R. F. (2022). Global Research Trends in Consumer Behavior and Sustainability in E-Commerce: A Bibliometric Analysis of the Knowledge Structure. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 9455. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159455

Rodrigues, S. D. S. (2021). Comportamento pró-ambiental em decisões de substituição de bens duráveis.

Sargin, S., & Dursun, Y. (2023). Sustainable consumption behaviour: A conceptual assessment. *Business & Management Studies: An International Journal*, *11*(1), 400–412. https://doi.org/10.15295/bmij.v11i1.2184

Seymen, O. F., Ölmez, E., Doğan, O., Er, O., & Hiziroğlu, K. (2023). Customer Churn Prediction Using Ordinary Artificial Neural Network and Convolutional Neural Network Algorithms: A Comparative Performance Assessment. *Gazi University Journal of Science*, *36*(2), 720–733. https://doi.org/10.35378/gujs.992738

Shah, M. A. H., Islam, A. K. M. N., & Molla, A. K. M. F. (2019). Customer purchase prediction using machine learning and big data analytics in e-commerce. *Journal of Big Data*, *6*(1), 1–23.

Sharma, S. K., & Gangwar, H. (2016). Consumer behavior towards sustainable products: Review of literature. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *135*, 1045–1056.

Sheoran, M., & Kumar, D. (2022). Benchmarking the barriers of sustainable consumer behaviour. *Social Responsibility Journal*, *18*(1), 19-42.

Spang, E. S., Manzor, S., & Loge, F. J. (2020). The cost-effectiveness of energy savings through water conservation: a utility-scale assessment. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(11), 114031.

Yzer, M. (2017). Theory of reasoned action and theory of planned behavior. *The international encyclopedia of media effects*, 1-7.

Verbeke, W., Martens, D., Mues, C., & Baesens, B. (2011). Building comprehensible customer churn prediction models with advanced rule induction techniques. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *38*(3), 2354–2364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.08.023

Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2006). Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer "attitude–behavioral intention" gap. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental ethics*, *19*, 169-194.

White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. *Journal of Marketing*, *83*(3), 22–49. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242919825649

Yadav, R. K., & Singh, V. (2018). Predicting future customer behavior in e-commerce using machine learning algorithms. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, *8*(12), 27–32.

Yildirim, L., Ceylan, R. F., & Colak, I. (2020). Customer behavior prediction using machine learning techniques: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *157*, 113507.

Zhuang, W., Luo, X., & Riaz, M. U. (2021). On the factors influencing green purchase intention: A meta-analysis approach. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.644020

Zorgati, H., & El Guedri, M. (2023). L'impact de la gamification sur l'attitude du consommateur envers la marque et sur l'intention d'engagement: Cas de la Roue de la Chance «Orange Tunisie». *Revue Internationale des Sciences de Gestion*, *6*(3).